

PREPARATION OF A CONCEPT PLAN AND A DETAILED PROJECT REPORT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A WORLD CLASS BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION PARK AT KADAMBUR, NEAR MARAIMALAI NAGAR, CHENGALPATTU DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

Clarification to Pre-Proposal Queries

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1. | Clause 1.3.2 – Page 7 of 80 | Bidders scoring not less than 70% of the total points (St) in Technical Proposal shall only be qualified for opening of Financial Proposal . The Authority shall notify the Bidders, results of the technical evaluation and invite those who have secured the minimum qualifying mark for opening of the financial proposals indicating the date and time. The financial Proposal of unqualified Bidders shall not be opened | Bidder scoring instead of 70 %: 30% Quality and Cost Based Selection it is requested to modify this as 60%:30%. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 2. | Section 2 – S.No 15 – Page 24 of 80 | DATA SHEET Category I Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park in an area not less than 100 acres . | Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park in an area it is requested to change as not less than 50 acres . | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 3. | Section 2 – S.No 16 – Page 24 of 80 | Assignment Experience a) Experience in Category I Assignment defined as above during the last 10 years – 5 marks per assignment upto a maximum of 15 marks b) Experience in Category II Assignment defined as above during the last 10 years – 5 | In case of joint venture evaluation it may be clarified that credential of all the JV partners may be consider. | The Assignment Experience of Consortium Partner will be considered. |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| | | marks per assignment upto a maximum of 10 marks | | |
| 4. | Section 2 – S.No 16 – Page 24 of 80 | Team Qualification & Experience b) Botanist – 6 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks, 1 mark per Category II Assignment upto a maximum of 2 marks) c) Horticulturist – 6 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 6 marks) | It is requested to please modify either Botanist or Horticulturist one of two. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 5. | Clause 3.1 – page 26 of 80 | The Biodiversity Conservation Park is planned in an area of 137.65 hectares in partnership with Kew Gardens of London. The entire land for the project is in possession of the Environment, Climate Change and Forest Department, Government of Tamil Nadu. | It is requested to please clarify the what will be the role of Kew Gardens of London in the role of consultant. | The role of Kew Gardens is to support the Environment and Forest Department in the overall development and conservation aspects/capacity building etc. |
| 6. | Pg17 SECTION 1. Instructions to Bidders - 1.19 Substitution of Key Personnel | Any subsequent substitution of key personnel so replaced would call for reduction of remuneration by 2% of the Contract Value for the balance payment. Substitutions undertaken at the time of contract negotiations shall be counted while calculating the number of substitutions under this clause. Requests by Consultant for replacement of Team Leader may be considered by the authority for situation beyond the reasonable control of the consultant and allowed based on merits of the case so determined by the Authority, subject | Please confirm that penalties for replacement and failure for deployment during the entire project duration are capped. We suggest that any substitutions undertaken at the time of contract shall be of equal or similar experience technical personnel upon mutual consent and hence, be excluded for penalty. For the entire duration of the project, | The reduction of remuneration by 2% of the Contract Value is for each subsequent substitution of key personnel. RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| | | to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. The Authority may impose, at its discretion, reduction of remuneration of up to 5% of the Contract Value for the balance payment. The Authority reserves the right to instruct the Consultant to replace the key personnel during negotiation/ implementation stage. such replacement shall not be considered for reduction of Contract Value. | we suggest an overall cap on LDs at 5% of the contract value | |
| 7. | We suggest that any substitutions undertaken at the time of contract shall be of equal or similar experience technical personnel upon mutual consent and hence, be excluded for penalty. For the entire duration of the project, we suggest an overall cap on LDs at 5% of the contract value | Subject to the provisions of Clause 1.17, all documents and other information provided by the Authority or submitted by a Bidder to the Authority shall remain or become the property of the Authority. Bidders and the Consultant, as the case may be, are to treat all information as strictly confidential. All information collected, analysed, processed or in whatever manner provided by the Consultant to the Authority in relation to the Consultancy shall be the property of the Authority. | We understand that while on completion of the project the ownership of all documents shall vest with the client, however, the IP right and copyright of design shall remain with the consultant/ bidder. Please confirm. We request the clause be revised as under: Subject to the provisions of Clause 1.17, all documents and other information provided by the Authority or submitted by a Bidder to the Authority shall remain or become the property of the Authority. Bidders and the Consultant, as the case may be, are to treat all information as strictly confidential. All information collected, analysed, processed or in whatever manner provided by the Consultant to the Authority in relation to the Consultancy shall be the property of the Authority. However, the IP right and | RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | copyright of design shall remain with the consultant/ bidder and that consultant/bidder can showcase non-confidential project information in their firm's profile/ capability statement. | |
| 8. | Pg 19 SECTION 1. Instructions to Bidders 1.24 Bid Security | The Bidder shall furnish as part of its Proposal, a bid security as per details mentioned in Section 2 – Data Sheet (the “Bid Security”), returnable not later than 60 (Sixty) days after the expiration of the Bid validity period, including any extension thereof, or 60 (Sixty) days after the issuance of the Letter of Award, whichever is earlier. The Selected Bidder’s Bid Security shall be returned upon the Bidder signing the Contract. | The bid security of the bidders who are not shortlisted may please be returned upon selection of the top two consultants within 60 days | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 9. | Pg 19 SECTION 1. Instructions to Bidders 1.24 Bid Security | | Please provide relevant site images. | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 10. | Pg 27 SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE 3.3 Site Features | Water body; High Tension wire | Please indicate position/location/size of waterbody and high-tension wires on a site plan or google base map. | The required details are available in the AutoCAD files which can be downloaded from the link - Site Details - Bio Diversity Park |
| 11. | Clause No Details of Clause as per bid document Queries Recommendation | Weightage: T = .7 and F = .3 S = St x 0.7 + Sf x 0.3 | For Technical Design RFP’s requiring specialized design services, QCBS weightage is 80% (0.8) Technical and 20% (0.2) Financial. We request that marking criteria be | RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | 6. Pg 22, RFP, SECTION 2. DATA SHEET, point 08 | | changed to 80% (0.8) Technical and 20% (0.2) Financial. | |
| 12. | Pg 22, RFP, SECTION 2. DATA SHEET, point 11 | Bid Submission End Date: 12-Jul-2023 - 15:00 Hr | We request time extension of at least 3 weeks from the response to prebid queries, for the bid submission | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 13. | Pg 23, RFP, SECTION 2. DATA SHEET, point 15, Minimum Eligibility Criteria | Financial Capacity: The Bidder should have a minimum annual average turnover of Rs. 5 crore (Rupees Five crores) as professional fees in the last three financial years preceding the Bid Submission End Date | For such a prestigious biodiversity conservation park of 137.65 hectares being developed in partnership with Kew Gardens of London, this RFP is seeking national and international consultancy firms having experience in developing large botanical gardens/biodiversity parks. Hence, we request that the Bidder should have a minimum annual average turnover of Rs. 300 crore (Rupees Three Hundred crores). | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 14. | Pg 08, RFP, SECTION 2. DATA SHEET, point 15, Minimum Eligibility Criteria | Minimum Assignment Experience: Bidder shall enclose LOI/LOA/ Work Order/Agreement copy and Completion Certificate from client or Certificate from Auditor of Bidder certifying receipt of 100% professional fee for assignment | Please confirm if CA certificate with UDIN will suffice . Due to the large scale of such projects and phasing based on the client funds etc., we request that LOA, Project contract documents, in progress projects near | CA certificate with UDIN shall suffice subject to certificate clearly establishing the receipt of 100% professional fee for assignment RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | completion stage (at 50% completion) may also please be considered as part of the firm's assignment experience. | |
| 15. | Pg 23, RFP, SECTION 2. DATA SHEET, point 15, Minimum Eligibility Criteria | Minimum Assignment Experience: | <p>Please confirm if a firm is allowed to use Associate/Affiliate experience.</p> <p>Since the RFP is seeking national and international consultancy firms having experience in developing large botanical gardens/biodiversity parks, we request that the addition of clause as under for minimum assignment experience: A firm is allowed to use Associate/Affiliate experience as defined below as provided upon submission of proof of incorporation. "Associate(s) /Affiliate(s)" means, in relation to a Bidder, is defined as having 100% control / is controlled by; and "Control" in relation to a Person, means the power, directly or indirectly, to direct or influence the management and policies of such Person by operation of law, contract or otherwise. The term Controls" and "Controlled" shall be construed accordingly</p> | <p>Credentials of only the Applicant will be Considered.</p> <p>RFP Conditions shall prevail</p> |
| 16. | Pg 08, RFP, SECTION 2. DATA SHEET, | Minimum Assignment Experience: Category I Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a | We understand that any project that meets both category I and II experience criteria can | Yes, a single project can be included under both categories |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| | point 15, Minimum Eligibility Criteria | Botanical Garden/ BioDiversity Park in an area not less than 100 acres. Category II Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/ DPR/ DFR for a Botanical Garden/ BioDiversity Park, with a minimum project cost of Rs 100 crores | be repeated under both category heads. Please confirm. | |
| 17. | Pg 30 SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE 3.6 Detailed Scope of work | <p>A. Detailed Site Appreciation and Analysis (i) Site Analysis (ii) Field Surveys & Investigations:</p> <p>a) Topographic Survey: The Consultant shall undertake physical survey (with extent) of the project site and the boundary survey with appropriate coordinates (using DGPS). The details may be provided includes (i) Site survey drawings with all physical features of the site (ii) its approach roads, roads traversing through site and abutting roads, (iii) existing infrastructure networks (electrical, sewerage, telephone, water supply, drainage etc.), (iv) vegetation (trees and shrubs), (v) other physical features like damp areas, pits, rocky areas, hillocks/hills, natural drains, streams and other water bodies etc., and (vii) built-up features. b) Geo-Technical Investigations Necessary soil investigation shall be carried out at the Site to assess the nature of soil. The soil tests would be undertaken in sufficient detail to arrive site suitability for plants and the safe bearing capacity (design parameter) for civil works</p> | <p>Please confirm if bidder is required to carry out drone survey for the project.</p> <p>We request that the client (being a govt. body) to provide approvals or NOC's from relevant agencies like DJB, PWD, CPWD, IGL, telecom operators, local body or others; so that the bidder/ consultant can undertake any/all necessary surveys.</p> | <p>Drone Survey is not mandatory. The consultant to decide the method of survey based on the output requirement.</p> <p>Necessary support will be provided by the Assignment owner to the consultant to undertake necessary surveys.</p> |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 18. | Pg 32, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.6 Detailed Scope of work | C. Stakeholders Consultation The Consultant shall carry out consultation with key stakeholders – wildlife experts, leading academic and research institutions, WWF, IUCN, NGOs, etc. during the conceptualization stage. The Stakeholder consultations may include vision, key features and components, strategic interventions, etc. for the proposed project. The consultant shall involve a representative of the Forest Department in its major stakeholder consultation meetings. A preliminary list of stakeholders may be provided to Department for their suggestions | We understand while the Bidder/ Consultant has the responsibility for defending the plans/ proposals/designs and shall assist the client shall make presentations/ obtain suggestions as and when required to relevant stakeholders, the overall responsibility for obtaining approvals, clearances etc. is with the client. Please confirm that all NOC's and clearances needed for the project is client responsibility. | The consultants scope shall be limited to Stakeholders Consultation for inputs during the conceptual and master planning stages. |
| 19. | Pg 32, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.6 Detailed Scope of work | D. Concept Plan The consultant should prepare at least 2 Concept Plans for the project for review and approval by the Department | Since the bidder/consultant shall provide 5 international benchmarking projects during the concept design phase to firm up the concept masterplan, hence, we suggest that Concept masterplan be limited to ONE scheme only. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 20. | Pg 34, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.6 Detailed Scope of work | F. Detailed Designs, BOQs and Estimates: The Consultant should also incorporate environmentally sustainable practices in the planning and design of infrastructure and built-up facilities to enable LEED Certification for the proposed Biodiversity Conservation Park | Please confirm if LEED certification is in the scope of the consultant | The consultant scope shall be planning and design of infrastructure and built-up facilities for LEED/Similar certification and not to obtain LEED/Similar certification. |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|--------------|-------------|----|------------------|------------|-----|----|--------------|-------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|--|-----|--|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---|----------------------|-----------|----|---|------------------|------------|----|---|--------------|-------------|----|---|-----------|-------------|----|---|-----------|-------------|----|---|-----------------------|-------------|----|---|---------------------------------|-------------|----|-------------------------------|
| 21. | Pg 34, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.6 Detailed Scope of work | G. Business Plan & Financial Modelling and Project Structuring | We suggest the client appoint a separate agency for Financial modelling and that it is de-scoped from this present RFP | RFP Conditions shall prevail | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. | Pg 35, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.7 Timeline and Payment Schedule | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No</th> <th>Deliverables</th> <th>Timeline (T)</th> <th>Payment (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Inception Report</td> <td>T+ 2 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Concept Plan</td> <td>T+ 10 Weeks</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR)</td> <td>T+ 20 Weeks</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Final Detailed Project Report (DPR)</td> <td>T+ 22 Weeks</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Preparation of Tender Documents</td> <td>T+ 24 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Bid Evaluation Leading to Award</td> <td></td> <td>10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | S.No | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | 1. | Inception Report | T+ 2 Weeks | 10% | 2. | Concept Plan | T+ 10 Weeks | 20% | 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 20 Weeks | 30% | 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 22 Weeks | 20% | 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 24 Weeks | 10% | 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | | 10% | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No</th> <th>Deliverables</th> <th>Timeline (T)</th> <th>Payment (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Mobilization advance</td> <td>T+0 weeks</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Inception Report</td> <td>T+ 2 weeks</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Concept Plan</td> <td>T+ 10 weeks</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Draft DPR</td> <td>T+ 22 weeks</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Final DPR</td> <td>T+ 26 weeks</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Preparation of Tender</td> <td>T+ 30 weeks</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>Bid Evaluation leading to award</td> <td>T+ 38 weeks</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | S. No | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | 1 | Mobilization advance | T+0 weeks | 10 | 2 | Inception Report | T+ 2 weeks | 10 | 3 | Concept Plan | T+ 10 weeks | 20 | 4 | Draft DPR | T+ 22 weeks | 30 | 5 | Final DPR | T+ 26 weeks | 10 | 6 | Preparation of Tender | T+ 30 weeks | 10 | 7 | Bid Evaluation leading to award | T+ 38 weeks | 10 | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| S.No | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Inception Report | T+ 2 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Concept Plan | T+ 10 Weeks | 20% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 20 Weeks | 30% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 22 Weeks | 20% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 24 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. No | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mobilization advance | T+0 weeks | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Inception Report | T+ 2 weeks | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Concept Plan | T+ 10 weeks | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Draft DPR | T+ 22 weeks | 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Final DPR | T+ 26 weeks | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Preparation of Tender | T+ 30 weeks | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Bid Evaluation leading to award | T+ 38 weeks | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23. | Pg 35, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.7 | 3.7.1 The duration of the services will be approximately 6 months from the time of the signing of contract. | Usually for similar projects, the bid evaluation leading to award is usually 2 months. We suggest contract duration be decided and revised to incorporate the same (please see point above). | The time period of 6 months shall be exclusive of time taken for approval and timeline provided to bidders during bidding stage. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| | Timeline and Payment Schedule | | | |
| 24. | Pg 35, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.7 Timeline and Payment Schedule | 3.7.5 No mobilization advance will be given to the Consultant. | Since Bidder/Consultant has to carry out surveys during the initial stage of the project, we request a mobilization advance of 10% be provided. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 25. | Pg 36, RFP, SECTION 3. TERMS OF REFERENCE, point no. 3.8 Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel | h. Finance Expert Minimum 7 years of Post Qualification experience | We suggest that the financial expert for a project of this nature should be min 15 years of Post Qualification experience. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 26. | Pg 38, RFP, SECTION 4. FORMATS, 2. Financial Capacity of the Bidder | Certificate from Auditor | Please confirm if CA certificate with UDIN can be provided to confirm financial capacity of the bidder. | Yes |
| 27. | | | We recommend the inclusion of the below clause for third party reliance. "This Agreement shall not create any rights or benefits to parties other than the Client and the Consultant. The Client must not in any way (directly or indirectly) provide, allow or | RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | | | <p>enable the Consultant's documents to be included or referred to in any written material or relied upon by any third party. No party other than the Client shall have the right to rely on the Consultant's documents rendered in connection with the Works. The Client shall indemnify and hold harmless the Consultant in respect of any claim or loss claimed whether in contract, tort or by statute, by any third party in respect of use or reliance on any of the Consultant's documents or opinions. The Consultant shall not, through any act or omission, be taken to have waived any rights under this clause, unless the waiver is specifically agreed in writing."</p> | |
| 28. | | | <p>Since the consultant team is required to undertake site visits and periodic inspection during execution stage, we recommend the inclusion of the below clause for safety. "Notwithstanding anything contained in this Agreement, in the event of Consultant's personnel visiting the site to which the Services relate, they shall be regarded for all purposes as being Client's consultants and shall not, under any circumstance, be deemed to have assumed the role of occupier or otherwise to have assumed control of or responsibility for the Site or any persons on it. Client shall maintain a safe workplace and</p> | RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | environment at the Site which is as per the applicable laws and a failure to do so shall be regarded as a material breach of the client's obligation under this Agreement.” | |
| 29. | Section 1, Cl. 1.1.1, Page 7 | In 2022-23 during the presentation of Budget by Government of Tamil Nadu, an announcement was made in the floor of the assembly that a biodiversity conservation park will be established at Kadambur, near Maraimalainagar, in Chengalpattu district, Tamil Nadu at a cost of ₹300 crore in partnership with Kew Gardens of London. | Considering the large scale and complexity of the project, we humbly request the Authority to kindly increase the project cost to Rs. 350 Crores. | The project cost provided in the RFP is indicative only. The project cost is likely to be updated based on the DPR prepared by the consultant. |
| 30. | Section 2, Sl. 8 Page No. 22 | Weightage: $T = .7$ and $F = .3$ $S = St \times 0.7 + Sf \times 0.3$ | We humbly request the Authority to please revise this clause to read as below: Weightage: $T = .8$ and $F = .2$ $S = St \times 0.8 + Sf \times 0.2$ | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 31. | Section 2, Sl. 11 Page No. 22 | Bid Submission End Date: 12-Jul-2023 – 15:00 Hrs | We request the Authority to kindly extend the proposal submission date by three weeks from the response to queries in order to give appropriate time to the Consultants for documentation and submission. | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 32. | Section 2, Sl. 15, Page No. 23 | Financial Capacity: The Bidder should have a minimum annual average turnover of Rs. 5 crore (Rupees Five crores) as professional fees in the last three financial years preceding the Bid Submission End Date. | We humbly request the Authority to please revise this clause to read as below: Financial Capacity: The Bidder should have a minimum annual turnover should be over Rs.200 crores (Rupees Two Hundred Crores) as professional fees in the last three financial | RFP Conditions shall prevail |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----|------------------|------------|-----|----|--------------|--------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|--|-----|---|--------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----|------------------|------------|-----|----|--------------|--------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|-------------------------------|
| | | | years (evidence to be submitted) ending March 2022. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33. | Section 2, Sl. 15, Page No. 24 | Category I Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park in an area not less than 100 acres. Category II Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/ DPR/ DFR for a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park, with a minimum project cost of Rs 100 crores | We humbly request the Authority to please revise this clause to read as below: Category I Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/Landscape Plan/ DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park/ Public Parks/ Ecoparks/ Eco-tourism Projects in an area not less than 25 acres. Category II Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/ Landscape Plan/DPR/ DFR for a Botanical Garden/ BioDiversity Park Public Parks/ Ecoparks/ Eco-tourism Projects, with a minimum project cost of Rs 50 crores | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34. | Section 3, Cl. 3.7, Page No. 35 | <p>Timeline and Payment Schedule The Consultant is expected to submit the following deliverables.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Deliverables</th> <th>Timeline (T)</th> <th>Payment (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Inception Report</td> <td>T+ 2 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Concept Plan</td> <td>T + 10 Weeks</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR)</td> <td>T+ 20 Weeks</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Final Detailed Project Report (DPR)</td> <td>T+ 22 Weeks</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Preparation of Tender Documents</td> <td>T+ 24 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Bid Evaluation Leading to Award</td> <td></td> <td>10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | S. No. | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | 1. | Inception Report | T+ 2 Weeks | 10% | 2. | Concept Plan | T + 10 Weeks | 20% | 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 20 Weeks | 30% | 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 22 Weeks | 20% | 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 24 Weeks | 10% | 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | | 10% | <p>We humbly request the Authority to please revise this clause to read as below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Deliverables</th> <th>Timeline (T)</th> <th>Payment (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Inception Report</td> <td>T+ 4 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Concept Plan</td> <td>T + 11 Weeks</td> <td>25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR)</td> <td>T+ 24 Weeks</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Final Detailed Project Report (DPR)</td> <td>T+ 30 Weeks</td> <td>15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Preparation of Tender Documents</td> <td>T+ 32 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Bid Evaluation Leading to Award</td> <td>T+ 36 Weeks</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | S. No. | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | 1. | Inception Report | T+ 4 Weeks | 10% | 2. | Concept Plan | T + 11 Weeks | 25% | 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 24 Weeks | 30% | 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 30 Weeks | 15% | 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 32 Weeks | 10% | 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | T+ 36 Weeks | 10% | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| S. No. | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Inception Report | T+ 2 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Concept Plan | T + 10 Weeks | 20% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 20 Weeks | 30% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 22 Weeks | 20% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 24 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. No. | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Inception Report | T+ 4 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Concept Plan | T + 11 Weeks | 25% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 24 Weeks | 30% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 30 Weeks | 15% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 32 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | T+ 36 Weeks | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35. | Section 3, Cl. 3.8, Page 36 & 37 | Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel: | We humbly request the Authority to please revise this clause to read as below: Experience & Qualification Requirements for Key Personnel: | RFP Conditions shall prevail | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | | | | Requested Revision / Clarifications | | | | Reply |
|--------|---|---|--|---|------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|-------|
| S. # | Key Personnel | Education Qualification | Length of Professional Experience | Experience on Assignments | S. # | Key Personnel | Education Qualification | Length of Professional Experience | Experience on Assignments | |
| a. | Team Leader | Post Graduate Degree in Landscape Architecture | Minimum 15 years of Post-Qualification experience | Should have led at least one assignment in leadership capacity in Category I and Category II Assignment | a. | Team Leader | Post Graduate Degree in Landscape Architecture/ Planning or Environmental planning | Minimum 15 years of Post-Qualification experience | Should have led at least one assignment in leadership capacity in Category I and Category II Assignment | |
| b. | Botanist | Post Graduate Degree in Botany | Minimum 15 years of post-qualification experience | Should have worked on at least one Category I or Category II Assignment | b. | Botanist | Degree in Botany | Minimum 10 years of post-qualification experience | Should have worked on at least one Category I or Category II Assignment | |
| c. | Horticulturist | Post Graduate Degree in Horticulture | Minimum 10 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have worked on at least one Category I or Category II Assignment | c. | Horticulturist | Degree in Horticulture | Minimum 10 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have worked on at least one Category I or Category II Assignment | |
| d. | Architect | Post Graduate Degree in Architecture | Minimum 10 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have worked on at least one Category I or Category II Assignment | d. | Architect | Degree in Architecture | Minimum 5 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have worked on at least one Category I or Category II Assignment | |
| e. | Wildlife Expert with expertise in Ornithology | Degree in Forestry/ Wildlife | Minimum 10 years of Post Qualification experience | Experience in Wildlife management | e. | Wildlife Expert | Degree in Forestry/ Wildlife | Minimum 10 years of Post Qualification experience | Experience in Wildlife management | |
| f. | Structural Engineer | Post Graduate Degree in Structural Engineering | Minimum 10 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have experience in design of buildings and civil structures. | f. | Civil/ Structural Engineer | Degree in Civil Engineering | Minimum 7 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have experience in design of buildings and civil structures. | |
| g. | Hydrology Expert | Degree in Civil Engineering | Engineering Min.10 years of post-qualification experience as a Hydrologist | Should have experience in carrying out hydrology studies for similar projects | g. | Hydrology Expert | Degree in Civil Engineering | Engineering Min.7 years of post-qualification experience as a Hydrologist | Should have experience in carrying out hydrology studies for similar projects | |
| h. | Finance Expert | Post Graduate Degree in Management/ MBA/ CA/ CFA | Minimum 7 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have worked on a minimum of one assignment as Finance Expert for undertaking financial modelling and structuring of infrastructure / commercial projects | h. | Finance Expert | Post Graduate Degree in Management/ MBA/ CA/ CFA | Minimum 15 years of Post Qualification experience | Should have worked on a minimum of one assignment as Finance Expert for undertaking financial modelling and structuring of infrastructure / commercial projects | |
| i. | GIS Engineer | Degree in Engineering/ Sciences/ Geology with a Post Graduate Degree/ Diploma in GIS/ Geomatics/ Remote Sensing | Min 5 years of post-qualification experience in GIS | Should have worked on GIS assignments. | i. | GIS Engineer | Degree in Engineering/ Sciences/ Geology or other related degree with a Post Graduate Degree/ Diploma in GIS/ Geomatics/ Remote Sensing | Min 5 years of post-qualification experience in GIS | Should have worked on GIS assignments. | |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 36. | Section 1, Cl.1.10.5 Page No. 12 | The Proposals must be properly signed by the authorized representative (the “Authorized Representative”) as detailed below: c. By a duly authorized person holding the Power of Attorney, in case of a Limited Company/Private Limited Company or a Corporation; | We request the Authority to kindly accept a Board Resolution in lieu of Power of Attorney | Kindly refer clause 1.10.5(d) of the RFP |
| 37. | Section 3, Cl. 3.7.4, Page 36 | Payment relating to a particular stage shall be done after approval of the respective stage report. | We request the Authority to kindly include the following clause: In the event, the Authority delays the payment of the fees to consultant beyond 15 days after the due date, the Authority shall be liable to pay interest @ 18% per annum on the amount due to the Consultant. At any given time, the aforesaid fee is non-refundable and non-adjustable | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 38. | Section 3, Cl. 3.7.1, Page No. 35 | The duration of the services will be approximately 6 months from the time of the signing of contract. | We humbly request the Authority to please revise this clause to read as below: The duration of the services will be approximately 9 months from the time of the signing of contract | Kindly refer reply to query no 23 |
| 39. | | | the master planning stage should be split from the implementation stage. The Master Planning Stage should set out the brief, the aspirations, the timeline & stages for further implementation, more realistic budgets, ... It should set out a general sense of direction for the entire project, with clear priorities and an overarching vision. You could do this as a design competition (but then you will still | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | <p>need a more detailed brief) or as a tender for a master planner with specific experience. You will need to carefully consider if you already want the foreign consultant to team up with a local consultant. Or maybe you want to tender for the local consultant separately. On foreign projects our clients often team us up with the client's preferred local partners.</p> <p>The Master Plan can then form a clear framework for one or several implementation projects. You may want to open the park in one go with most facilities open on Day 1 and have one big tender after the Master Plan. Or you may want to develop your project gradually with different packages as more budgets become available and grow organically. The Master Plan will ensure that the different packages will still result in one coherent park. Both strategies have their merits and I do not know which one would suit you most.</p> | |
| 40. | | | Kindly remove clauses like Substitution of Key Personnel and Performance Security | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 41. | | | We kindly request the Client to provide a one-month extension to allow us sufficient time to study RFP and to provide a comprehensive proposal | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|------------------|--------------------|--|---|
| 42. | | | We request adjusting 3.6 F to high-level takeaways, removing the detailed design while keeping the BOQs and Estimates at the conceptual level to help determine the project feasibility. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 43. | | | We request the removal of 3.6H from the scope of service as there are many unknowns at this point. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 44. | | | Please confirm if the timeline and duration of the project are open to proposing. | Kindly refer reply to query no 23 |
| 45. | | | Please clarify the number of in-person workshops/meetings & stakeholder engagements required in the service | The number of stakeholder consultation meeting is to be arrived at by the consultant based on the requirements of the project. |
| 46. | | | Please clarify the payment approval process for each stage. | The review committee formed for the Assignment will review the reports submitted by the Consultant. Post approval of the review committee, payment shall be released. |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--|---|
| 47. | Page No.23/80 – 15 | | Kindly consider Proprietorship firms with GST registration. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 48. | Page No.23/80 – 15 | | Since, we are only a Consultancy firm, not involved in execution of projects and due to pandemic our average annual financial turnover is not Rs.5.00 crores in the last three years. Hence we request you to waiver the above clause. | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 49. | | | we would like to request an extension of the bid submission deadline until 31st July to ensure a compliant and timely submission | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 50. | | | We request you to kindly extend the date of submission by 2 weeks on releasing the pre-bid clarifications | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 51. | | | Can we have a topographic survey of the proposed site in Autocad format capturing levels, the crossing of the High tension lines and other features within the site as we would require the same for the approach and methodology presentation | The topographic Survey of the project site is to be conducted by the selected bidder. |
| 52. | | | Is there a possibility of lowering the minimum eligibility criteria especially the annual turnover as the last few years as these were Covid impacted years which has impacted the turnovers | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 53. | | | We request sharing the minutes of the pre bid meeting held on 22/06/2023 | The queries raised by the bidders in the Prebid meeting and the reply to those queries are provided in this document. |
| 54. | Page 22 Section 2 Point 6 | Performance Security: - 5% of the Contract value. | Many Govt. Bodies Like NHAI, MORTH, NHIDCL decided to reduce performance Security from existing 5-10% to 3% of the value of the contract as per the Performance security reduction circular of Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (Highways Division), as per circular Letter No. COVID- 19 /RoadMap /JS(H) /2020 (183777) dated 04th May 2023. We request you to incorporate the same | RFP Conditions shall prevail |
| 55. | Page 23 - Section 2 - Point 15 | Minimum Eligibility Criteria: Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park | We request you to modify the clause as: Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park/ Island Development etc | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 56. | Page 24 Clause 16 | Technical Proposal Scoring: Team Qualification & Experience: a) Team Leader – 10 Marks (3 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 6 marks, 2 marks per Category II Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks) b) Botanist – 6 Marks | We request you to consider experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park or any eco-tourism projects instead of Category I & II mentioned here beside. | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | | <p>(2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks, 1 mark per Category II Assignment upto a maximum of 2 marks)</p> <p>c) Horticulturist – 6 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 6 marks)</p> <p>d) Architect – 6 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 6 marks)</p> <p>e) Wildlife Expert – 6 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 6 marks)</p> <p>f) Structural Engineer – 4 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks)</p> <p>g) Hydrology Expert – 4 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks)</p> <p>h) Financial Expert – 4 Marks (2 marks for one Assignment pertaining to financial analysis of infrastructure Assignment /similar Assignment upto a maximum of 2 marks, 1 mark per Category II Assignment upto a maximum of 2 marks)</p> <p>Category I Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/DPR/DFR for setting up a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park in an area not less than 100 acres.</p> | | |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | Category II Assignment – Experience in the preparation of Master Plan/ DPR/ DFR for a Botanical Garden/ Bio-Diversity Park, with a minimum project cost of Rs 100 crores. | | |
| 57. | Page 36 Clause 3.8 | f) Structural Engineer – 4 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks) g) Hydrology Expert – 4 Marks (2 marks per Category I Assignment upto a maximum of 4 marks) h) Financial Expert – 4 Marks (2 marks for one Assignment pertaining to financial analysis of infrastructure Assignment /similar Assignment upto a maximum of 2 marks, 1 mark per Category II Assignment upto a maximum of 2 marks) | We request you to consider Eco- Tourism projects and any Infrastructure projects handled for the mentioned key personnel | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |
| 58. | Page 735 Clause 3.7 | 3.7 Timeline and Payment Schedule The Consultant is expected to submit the following deliverables | We request you to include approval period also in the timeline and payment schedule or it may be exclusive of approval time | Kindly refer reply to query no 23 |

| S. No. | Reference in RFP | Description in RFP | | | | Requested Revision / Clarifications | Reply |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | S. No | Deliverables | Timeline (T) | Payment (%) | | |
| | | 1. | Inception Report | T+ 2 Weeks | 10% | | |
| | | 2. | Concept Plan | T + 10 Weeks | 20% | | |
| | | 3. | Draft Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 20 Weeks | 30% | | |
| | | 4. | Final Detailed Project Report (DPR) | T+ 22 Weeks | 20% | | |
| | | 5. | Preparation of Tender Documents | T+ 24 Weeks | 10% | | |
| | | 6. | Bid Evaluation Leading to Award | | 10% | | |
| 59. | General | Bid Submission End Date | | | | We request you to extend the due date by 2 weeks from the date of issuance of pre-bid clarifications as per standard practice | Refer Addendum/Corrigendum II |